

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/609,158	Applicant(s) LAREDO ET AL.
	Examiner KENNETH TANG	Art Unit 2195

All Participants:

(1) KENNETH TANG

(2) Glenn Barnes (Reg. No. 42,293)

Date of Interview: 27 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
1-30

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195
/Kenneth Tang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Status of Application: RCE Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to include the limitations of claim 8 and the limitation of "wherein the micro architecture code comprises an instruction interpreter that functions with an instruction translator to execute the virtual machine application instructions" (portion of claim 2) into independent claim 1. Furthermore, independent claims 11 and 21 were amended similarly to place the application in condition for allowance..